



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/639,055	08/11/2003	Isaiah Watas Cox		6754
7590	07/25/2005		EXAMINER	
Borealis Technical Limited 23545 NW Skyline Blvd North Plains, OR 97133-9204			BLUM, DAVID S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2813	

DATE MAILED: 07/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/639,055	COX, ISAIAH WATAS
	Examiner	Art Unit
	David S. Blum	2813

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 5/11/05.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 21 and 22 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 11 August 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

This action is in response to the amendment filed 5/11/05.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-20 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bensaoula (US20030035261A1).

Bensaoula teaches all of the positive steps of claims 1-20 and 22, except for explicitly stating that nanoscale roughness is reduced and that molecules or atoms are evaporated from one or more angular feature.

Bensaoula teaches degassing (evaporating molecules, molecules are a component of the surface) a surface of a wafer (wafers thus pair of surfaces as in claim 11) by heating the surface (claims 2, 7, 12, and 17), at a pressure of 10x-8torr (reduced vapor pressure as in claims 3, 8, 13, 14, and 17). Prior to reducing the vapor pressure, the wafer is dried in a nitrogen atmosphere (inert gas), thus the prior environment is purged as in claims 5, 9, 15, 18, and 19. As the system is placed in a vacuum (vapor pressure

reduced to 10x-8torr) the environment is evacuated as in claims 4, 6, 10, 16, 20 (paragraph 0066).

Regarding claim 22, as the surface is heated, this would create a temperature differential between the heated surface and other surfaces.

Thus as the positive steps of the instant claims and Bensaoula are the same, it would be obvious that the surface roughness of the wafer of Bensaoula (surface roughness entails angular features on the surface) would be reduced by evaporating molecules from the angular features.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claim 21 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 21 contains the limitation that the step of exposing the surfaces to an environment for promoting the evaporation comprises applying a voltage bias between the surfaces. As pointed out by the applicant, (in paragraph 21 of the specification), applying a voltage bias between the surfaces (electrodes) involves operating the device. This limitation, in combination with the other limitations of claim 21 is not taught or suggested by the prior art of record. Bensaoula (US20030035261A1) does not

evaporate by establishing a voltage bias between the surfaces. The voltage bias established between surfaces in Bensaoula is after degassing.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 5/11/05 toward claims 1-20 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues that evaporation of the instant application involves a change of state (solid to gas, or liquid to gas) and that degassing of Bensaoula involves removing gas molecules from a material and therefore, Bensaoula does not read on the instant claims. However, gas on the surface is an adsorbent, thus it is in a solid state until separated from the other solid material. Thus the degassing is evaporation. Also, Bensaoula refers to the degassing as a sublimation process (paragraph 0138), thus it is a change of state (solid to gas) as above. The adsorbed gas is then a component molecule and evaporated.

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 2813

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David S. Blum whose telephone number is (571)-272-1687 and e-mail address is David.blum@USPTO.gov .

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead Jr., can be reached at (571)-272-1702. Our facsimile number all patent correspondence to be entered into an application is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



David S. Blum

July 21, 2005